Alternative Filters for Imaging Planets

UV, IR, Methane

-Bill Warden

Alternative Filters for Imaging Planets

UV, IR, Methane -Most opaque to visible light -avoid refractors -best in RC's/reflectors -can get away with SCT's -uncoated barlow for UV

UV

-Venus

-UltraViolet light shows rapidly moving clouds, thought to be composed of sulfuric acid and aerosols(wikipedia)



-Baader U filter -350nm, bandwidth 60nm -(320-380nm)-\$\$ -Astrodon discontinued -back as Farpoint/OSI -Stack Violet and IR block



-many coatings block UV
-consider no barlow or uncoated?
-but it's really bright...



Red+IR -more signal, less contrast -possibly Mars -haven't used

IR Pass Filters

-near IR <1000 nm -most amateur cameras insensitive to IR above 1000nm

IR

-resistant to poor seeing
-limit resolution due to larger spot size
-mitigated by larger aperture
-blue channel theoretically sharper than red (sure)



bring out contrast in blue structures
base line planet image is white
vs DSO black
Mars 2018

IR Pass Filters

Baader IR 685nm (-1000) Astronomik IR 742 Astronomik IR 807 **ZWO IR 850** RG1000 1000 nm long pass >1000nm



ZWO IR 850 -color filters on OSC camera pass IR (IR/UV block filter recommended) -can use with ZWO OSC color camera as mono with IR pass filter -inexpensive

ZWO IR 850



Which IR Filter?

Baader IR 685nm (-1000) Astronomik IR 742 Astronomik IR 807 ZWO IR 850 RG1000 1000 nm long pass >1000nm

Which IR Filter?

Depends on Seeing For me IR 807>=IR 685 despite -longer exposure times -capturing fewer frames. Except Mars -very short exposure mitigated seeing?

Methane

-Methane in the atmosphere of gas giants absorbs red

-filters target 889 nm absorption band in IR

Methane

-There is methane everywhere in gas giants

-absorption less when there is a reflective element higher up in the atmosphere

-proxy for height of clouds.

-higher clouds, brighter signal (less absorption 2x distance)

Methane

-faint signal requires longer exposure, and/or binning, less magification

-limits planetary imaging techniques to compensate for seeing distortions

Methane which filter?

-889 nm with 50, 20, 8 nm band width
-narrower better contrast
-price inversely correlated with width
-ZWO 20 nm
-Baader 8 nm



-rock -no color -no atmosphere -seeing is the only concern -dominated by atmospheric distortion at low altitude

Mercury

IR longer wavelength the better -Astronomik IR 807 -consider ZWO 850 -only role for RG 1000 nm

Mercury

Is mid-day imaging possible? 2006 RGB C8 toucam





2018 IR C11 ZWO 290-MM





2018 IR C11 ZWO 290-MM 2x Barlow





Improvements: -mid day? -more disk visible -multiple shots to confirm rotation -reflector?

Venus

-UltraViolet light shows rapidly moving clouds, thought to be composed of sulfuric acid and aerosols(wikipedia) -Subtle bands in IR possible

Venus

higher than Mercurycan be captured mid day



2018 UV C11 ZWO 290-MM 2x Barlow



Venus

Improvements: -mid day? -more disk visible -multiple shots to confirm rotation -reflector?

Mars

-IR -fight poor seeing

-enhance contrast of blue surface detail

-penetrate martian dust

Mars

-IR

-shorter wavelength (685 vs 807) seemed as effective or better than longer -extremely bright -very short exposures minimize seeing effects? -red + IR?

Mars, Bringer of....Dust

Ironically, approaching 2018 opposition surface detail completely obscured by planet wide dust storm.

Began to clear at closest approach

IR dramatic increase in surface detail/contrast



Mars, Bringer of....Dust 4/20/2014 RGB



Mars, Bringer of....Dust

closest approach 7/31/18 8:21 UTC





Mars, Bringer of....Dust





closest approach 7/31/18 8:21 UTC

Mars, Bringer of....Dust 10/27/18


Jupiter

-IR makes GRS, equatorial band brighter -most detail involves red structures -enhanced in blue channel -IR not useful as luminance -IR does bring out detail/contrast in blue festoons



6/27/19 5:23 UTC



Jupiter

-imaging all about seeing-IR filter not that helpful-similar to saturation boost

Jupiter IR comparison 6/23/19 6:49 UTC

RGB

IR-GB



Jupiter IR comparison 6/23/19 6:49 UTC

IR 807

IR 685

Jupiter

685 nm FPS=116 Shutter=4.931ms Frames captured=10453

> 807 nm FPS=34 Shutter=23.89ms Frames captured=3065

IR 807

Jupiter GRS Peel Off 6/9/19 8:52 UTC

RGB

IR-GB



Jupiter GRS Peel Off 6/9/19 8:52 UTC

IR-GB



RGB/IR-GB



Jupiter GRS Peel Off Here's a time lapse of the great red spot taken by <u>BQ Octantis</u>, a member of the cloudy nights forum, imaging from the Australian outback:

> Great Red Spot Progression 25 May - 28 June 2019



2019-05-25 12:18 UTC

Copyright 2019 BQ Octantis. All rights reserved

Jupiter GRS Peel Off

Great Red Spot Progression 25 May - 28 June 2019



2019-05-25 12:18 UTC

Copyright 2019 BQ Octantis. All rights reserved.

A section of the great red spot appears to peel off on June 1 and then progress to the right.

<u>ttps://www.skyandtelescope.com/a</u> stronomy-news/jupiters-greatred-spot-unfurls-see-it-in-yourscope/</u>

-GRS unfurling

-There is methane everywhere in Jupiter's atmosphere

-absorption less when there is a reflective element higher up in the atmosphere

-proxy for height of clouds.

-higher clouds, brighter signal (less absorption 2x distance)



-Brighter at GRS -high in the atmosphere, therefore little methane absorption -equatorial "clearing" -bright poles -very dark east and west sides

-Looks Cool





6/27/19 5:23 UTC C11 Edge ZWO ASI 290 MM Baader 889 nm x 8 nm



Methane Frames captured=452 **Binning=2x2** FPS (avg.)=4 Shutter=200.3ms Gain=361 (60%)

Blue Frames captured=25737 **Binning=no** ROI=304x300 ROI(Offset)=0x0 FPS (avg.)=285 Shutter=1.829ms Gain=361 (60%)

Jupiter Methane GRS unfurling? 6/29/19 6:17 UTC



Jupiter Methane Moons extremely bright



-equatorial clearing?

https://www.skyandtelescope.com/ astronomy-news/astronomersidentify-weather-cycle-jupiter/

Saturn

-north polar hexagon blue
-excellent target for IR
-detail in ring structure

Saturn IR

6/26/18 7:45 UTC

RGB

IR-RGB



Saturn IR



Saturn IR



Saturn

-Methane

-Rings (water ice) glow dramatically in contrast to dark disk which absorbs methane band
-Not much detail on disk
-detect faint moons lost in the glare of the disk

Saturn Methane



Frames captured=207 Binning=2x2 Shutter=283.0ms Gain=351 (58%)

Ice Giants

-Bluish due to methane absorbing red light

-very faint so most advocate IR as a proxy for methane (need enough signal to track disk for stacking)

Uranus

-well suited for northern hemisphere observers
-transit elevation 67 degrees
-vs 34 for jupiter

Uranus Spectrum

-Christophe Pellier (with permission)

https://www.planetary-astronomy-and-imaging.com/en/uranus-spectrumcommented



Uranus IR Filter review

- -Christophe Pellier
- Baader 610 nm brightest
- Astronomik BP642 OK
- Baader 685 nm sacrifices brightness, but improves contrast
- Astronomik IR742 does not pass enough light

https://www.planetary-astronomy-and-imaging.com/en/filters-uranusspectroscopy

Uranus IR Filter review

-Christophe Pellier (with permission)

https://www.planetary-astronomy-and-imaging.com/en/filters-uranusspectroscopy



Uranus

-No white point -add long exposure wide field captures for color balance on moons and orientation for winjupos

Uranus

-IR will show cloud detail on summer side

Uranus IR-IRRGB



Neptune

-IR may show small clouds, great dark spot

Neptune

-No white point -add long exposure wide field captures for color balance on Triton and orientation for winjupos -Triton is relatively bright -often visible in standard captures

Neptune








